REPORT TO: POLICY AND RESOURCES COMMITTEE - 13 JUNE 2005

REPORT ON: COMMUNITY REGENERATION FUND SMALL GRANTS ALLOCATION

2005/2006

REPORT BY: ASSISTANT CHIEF EXECUTIVE (COMMUNITY PLANNING)/HEAD OF

COMMUNITIES

REPORT NO: 370-2005

1. PURPOSE OF REPORT

1.1 This report details the Community Regeneration Small Grants Fund 2005-2008 and makes recommendations on allocation to projects.

2. **RECOMMENDATIONS**

It is recommended that the Committee:

- 2.1 Notes the process for allocating small grants through the Local Community Regeneration Forums as agreed by the Dundee Partnership.
- 2.2 Endorses the funding proposals contained in Section 8 of this report.

3. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

- 3.1 This report recommends the allocation of £29,580 from the Community Regeneration Small Grants Fund. Provision for a Small Grants programme was previously agreed (Committee Report 743-2004) by Dundee Partnership.
- 3.2 Dundee City Council has agreed to act as the accountable body for the Community Regeneration Fund on behalf of the Dundee Partnership (Committee Report 743-2004). There are no direct financial implications for Dundee City Council arising from this report.

4. LOCAL AGENDA 21 IMPLICATIONS

4.1 There is a close relationship between Local Agenda 21 targets and the bous of the Community Regeneration Programme. The revenue expenditure proposals contained within this report are intended to meet local needs. The proposals also seek to assist with implementation of the Dundee Partnership's Community Regeneration Strategy, as such the measures contained in this report will impact on numerous agenda 21 targets.

5. **EQUAL OPPORTUNITIES IMPLICATIONS**

5.1 The resources made available through the Community Regeneration Fund for geographic neighbourhoods are targeted on those areas which fall within the most disadvantaged 15% of datazone in the 2004 Scottish Index for Multiple Deprivation. The funding made available is intended to have the effect of equalling opportunities.

6. **BACKGROUND**

6.1 In 2004 the Minister for Communities announced the establishment of a new £104 million Community Regeneration Fund (CRF). The CRF replaces the existing Social

- Inclusion Partnership (SIP) and Better Neighbourhood Services Fund (BNSF) programmes.
- 6.2 Community Regeneration Funding is expected to be targeted at the most deprived 15% of areas of Dundee as identified by the Scottish Index of Multiple Deprivation 2004. There are 51 such areas in Dundee with a population of approximately 40,000 based predominantly on the existing SIP1 and 2 communities. These areas have been collected into five clusters across the city which are defined as Community Regeneration Areas. (see Committee Report 743-2004)
- 6.3 Dundee has been allocated £17.367 million over 3 years including £5.775 million for 2005/6.

7. MANAGING THE COMMUNITY REGENERATION FUND

- 7.1 Procedures for allocating the Community Regeneration Fund were approved by Policy and Resources Committee in December 2004 (Committee Report 743-2004). In summary the key decisions were:
 - a) Dundee City Council will act as accountable body reporting to the Dundee Partnership.
 - b) The overall responsibility for decisions on the Community Regeneration Fund will be taken formally by the Dundee Partnership Management Group.
 - Allocations to local priorities will be made by Local Community Regeneration c) Forums utilising devolved budgets. The local allocation process is given below.
 - 1. Dundee Partnership invites applications for CRF through extensive network of stakeholders and organisations. The application form and guidance notes give explicit directions regarding match to outcomes and clear, measurable outputs.
 - Applications are screened by the CRF Co-ordinator, Regeneration staff and 2. partner agencies to ensure match to outcomes, strategic fit, value for money and focus on Community Regeneration Areas or thematic priority groups. Applications will either be rejected at this stage or endorsed by Building Stronger Communities Group.
 - Satisfactory applications are passed to Local Community Regeneration Forums 3. for consideration. Greater scrutiny is applied to match with local needs and local community plans. Further opinion is sought from wider communities before decisions taken and recommended to Dundee Partnership.
 - 4. Recommendations from LCRF's endorsed formally by Dundee Partnership.
 - 5. Allocations reported to Policy and Resources Committee of Dundee City Council as accountable body.
 - 6. Offer of Grant made to projects.
 - 7. Monitoring and Evaluation initiated.

8. **FUNDING ALLOCATION**

8.1 The local Small Grants allocations proposed in this report are summarised below:

	CRA1	CRA2	CRA3	CRA4	CRA5
Total Allocation	£25,000	£25,000	£25,000	£25,000	£25,000
Previously Allocated		£5,350		£4,430	
Current Proposals	£7,940	_	£3,660		£4,200
Still Available	£17,060	£19,650	£21,340	£20,570	£20,800

8.2 The proposals contained in Appendix A recommend the allocation of £25,580 from local budgets.

9. **CONSULTATION**

- 9.1 Consultation has taken place with the Chief Executive, Depute Chief Executive (Finance), Depute Chief Executive (Support Services), Assistant Chief Executive (Community Planning), the Directors of Housing, Social Work, Leisure and Arts, Education, Planning & Transportation, Dundee Contract Services and Head of Waste Management.
- 9.2 Partnership agencies, community representatives and voluntary sector representatives have also been consulted and involved in the assessment of applications, and the formation of recommendations contained in this report.

10. **BACKGROUND REPORTS**

10.1 Report to Policy and Resources Committee, April 2005, Report No 244-2005, Community Regeneration Fund Allocation of Resources, 2005-6

Chris Ward Assistant Chief Executive (Community Planning)	 16/06/05
Stewart Murdoch Head of Communities	16/06/05

Appendix A
Community Regeneration Fund Small Grants Allocation

No	Project Title	Area	Project Description	Project Costs	Amount Requested	Amount Awarded	Comments
1.1	Menzieshill Management Group	1	Costs of supporting holiday activity for 5-11 years old	£2,100	£2,100	£2,100	
1.2	Charleston Neighbourhood Centre/Twa Semis	1	Costs of supporting school holiday Play activity	£5,500	£2,500	£2,500	
1.3	Charleston Primary Nursery Class and Child and Family Centre	1	Building a fenced-off area for housing play equipment	£20,508	£3,340	£3,340	
2.3	Kirkton Festival Group	2	Costs towards organising annual community festival	£1,200	£1,200	£1,200	
2.5	Ardler Village Trust	2	Costs towards organising annual community festival	£1,200	£1,200	£700	
2.6	Shebang St. Mary's Fun Group	2	Costs towards the organisation of a summer Community event in St. Marys	£1,430	£1,000	£1,000	
2.7	Ardler Jobshop Partnership	2	A programme of specific training will be put in place to meet the needs of 20-30 local residents	£1,700	£1,200	£1,200	
2.8	Kirkton Community Support/Funtime	2	Costs towards supporting school holiday community event and trips	£2,000	£2,000	£1,000	
2.9	Women's Health Group	2	Funding towards an Outdoor Education Course	£620	£250	£250	
3.2	Hilltown Horizon Project	3	Supporting on arts project that will focus on Hilltown Park	£1,500	£1,500	£1,500	
3.3	Sanja Group/Access to Learning	3	A minority ethnic development project working with parents and children	£4,060	£2,160	£2,160	
4.3	Whitfest (Whitfield Activity Complex)	4	Costs of running a comprehensive arts festival	£5,000	£5,000	£2,500	
4.4	Mill 'O' Mains Residents Assoc	4	Costs towards summer Gala and Health Fair	£2,050	£1,650	£1,650	
4.6	Whitfield Womans Forum	4	Series of health events for women and families	£380	£280	£280	
5.1	Douglas Summer Festival Group	5	Costs to support a Community Festival for Douglas	£2,000	£2,000	£2,000	

5.2	Mid Lin Festival Committee	5	Costs towards the organisation and delivery	£2,750	£2,000	£2,000	
			of annual Community Festival				
5.3	Mossigel Primary School	5	Costs towards summer Fete involving the	£500	£200	£200	
	Parents/Teachers Association		school community				
			Totals	£52,698	£29,580	£25,580	