

REPORT TO: EDUCATION COMMITTEE – 18 AUGUST 2003

REPORT ON: TENDERS RECEIVED BY CITY ENGINEER

REPORT BY: CITY ENGINEER

REPORT NO: 528-2003

1 PURPOSE OF REPORT

1.1 This report details a tender received and requests a decision on acceptance thereof.

2 RECOMMENDATIONS

2.1 Approval is recommended of (1) the acceptance of the tender submitted by the undernoted contractor and (2) the undernoted total amount, including allowances, for the project:

Project Reference/Project Description	Contractor	Tender Amount	Total Amount	Finance Available
P02668/000 – Education Department Structural Repairs 2003/2004 – Contract 1	Andrew Shepherd & Sons, Forfar	£29,610.62	£39,000.00	£39,000.00
TOTAL		£29,610.62	£39,000.00	£39,000.00

3 FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

3.1 The Director of Finance has confirmed that funding for the above project is available as detailed on the attached sheet.

4 CONSULTATIONS

4.1 The Client Department has been consulted with regard to Local Agenda 21 and Equal Opportunities implications.

5 BACKGROUND PAPERS

5.1 Unless stated otherwise on the attached sheets, no background papers, as defined by Section 50D of the Local Government (Scotland) Act 1973 (other than any containing confidential or exempt information), were relied on to any material extent in preparing this report.

6 FURTHER INFORMATION

6.1 Detailed information relating to the above Tender is included on the attached sheet.

Mike Galloway
Director of Planning and Transportation

K Laing
City Engineer

KL/KMcK/EH
Dundee City Council
Tayside House
Dundee

30 July 2003

EDUCATION COMMITTEE – 18 AUGUST 2003

CLIENT	EDUCATION													
PROJECT NUMBER PROJECT	P02668000 Education Department Structural Repairs 2003-2004 – Contract 1													
TOTAL COST	Contract £29,610.62 Non-Contract Allowances £4,789.38 Fees £4,600.00 Total £39,000.00													
FUNDING SOURCE BUDGET PROVISION & PHASING	Education Department – Capital Budget – Structural 2003/2004 £39,000.00													
ADDITIONAL FUNDING	None													
REVENUE IMPLICATIONS	The completion of these works will reduce future maintenance requirements and lead to revenue savings.													
LOCAL AGENDA IMPLICATIONS 21	None													
EQUAL OPPORTUNITIES	There are no equal opportunities implications associated with this project.													
TENDERS	Tenders were invited from six contractors and the three lowest received were as follows: <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Tenderers</th> <th>Tender</th> <th>Corrected Tender</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 Andrew Shepherd</td> <td>£29,610.92</td> <td>£29,610.62</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2 Dundee Contract Services</td> <td>£34,951.72</td> <td>£34,902.70</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3 George Martin (Builders) Ltd</td> <td>£41,850.00</td> <td>£41,990.00</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Tenderers	Tender	Corrected Tender	1 Andrew Shepherd	£29,610.92	£29,610.62	2 Dundee Contract Services	£34,951.72	£34,902.70	3 George Martin (Builders) Ltd	£41,850.00	£41,990.00	
Tenderers	Tender	Corrected Tender												
1 Andrew Shepherd	£29,610.92	£29,610.62												
2 Dundee Contract Services	£34,951.72	£34,902.70												
3 George Martin (Builders) Ltd	£41,850.00	£41,990.00												
RECOMMENDATION	Acceptance of tender received from Andrew Shepherd & Sons													
ALLOWANCES	Contingencies £4,789.38 Professional Fees £4,000.00 Planning Supervisor £600.00 £9,389.38													
SUB-CONTRACTORS	None													
BACKGROUND PAPERS	None													