

REPORT TO: PLANNING AND TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE
25 MARCH 2002

REPORT ON: PUBLIC TRANSPORT FUND BID 2001 (PTF)
BRINGING CONFIDENCE INTO PUBLIC TRANSPORT

REPORT BY: DIRECTOR OF PLANNING AND TRANSPORTATION

REPORT NO: 184-2002

1 PURPOSE OF REPORT

- 1.1 To update Committee on progress made on this project and to approve the procedures to appoint a Project Management Consultant.

2 RECOMMENDATIONS

- 2.1 Committee is asked to approve the select tender list of three Consultants were selected from 12 expressions of interest in response to advertising in the EU Journal. These are tenders for Project Management Services on this project, and are to be assessed using the quality/price framework in Appendix A.
- 2.2 In order to progress with the appointment of the successful Consultant, Committee is also asked to approve this evaluation process, with the successful tenderer being confirmed at April Committee.

3 FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

- 3.1 The full costs of the consultancy service will be met from the successful PTF Bid of £2.31m across the financial years April 2002 – March 2005.

4 LOCAL AGENDA 21 IMPLICATIONS

- 4.1 The schemes to be implemented from this successful bid are aimed at encouraging modes of transport other than the car and as such will contribute to the protection of Dundee's environment.

5 EQUAL OPPORTUNITIES IMPLICATIONS

- 5.1 The schemes are aimed at improving public transport for the benefit of all Dundee's citizens, whether or not they have access to a car or are able bodied or have a disability, thus ensuring Dundee's transport network caters for all.

6 BACKGROUND

- 6.1 Committee will recall that the PTF Bid submitted last year was successful for an amount of £2.3m.
- 6.2 The scope of the works includes the introduction of advanced public transport information systems and infrastructure. The proposed locations for these facilities are Ninewells Hospital, Whitehall Street, St Andrews Street and Victoria Road.
- 6.3 Extensive early consultations will be necessary with affected stakeholders particularly in the City Centre streets. These have in fact already begun.

-
- 6.4 There will require to be a series of contracts prepared, tendered and awarded. Programming of these activities to achieve efficient implementation of each of the phases of the works will be critical. At all times Committee cycles will be recognised as an essential part of the process.
- 6.5 Each contract is likely to be let under different conditions due to the significant specialist content of the total package of work viz:
- i Planning and conservation issues require to be addressed.
 - ii A mechanism for architectural designs for the infrastructure and construction procedures requires to be assessed.
 - iii Any identified air quality problem will need a solution.
 - iv Road reconstruction will be necessary in places.
 - v Highly specialised contracts for the “flash mapping” public transport information system.
 - vi Compatibility issues and expansion as appropriate of the existing real time information system.
 - vii Further opportunities which may arise for public transport priorities through the Urban Traffic Control system, complementary to previous successful PTF Bids.
- 6.6 This workload necessitates the appointment of a Project Management Consultant with proven expertise in all of these fields.
- 6.7 A tender documentation for this next package of public transport proposals has been prepared.
- 6.8 As a result of the size of the project as a whole, it is necessary to advertise in the European Journal. The advert was placed in the journal on 29 January and the closing date for companies to lodge an expression of interest is 11 March.
- 6.9 From these expressions of interest three companies have been selected on a quality basis to tender for the Project Management role. Tenders are due for submission during April.
- 6.10 Given that many of the issues may be contentious and extremely sensitive in the city centre streets, Whitehall Street in particular, it is proposed that this tender assessment for the project management services be weighted toward quality as far as possible. A significant statement on what is expected of the successful tenderer is then made at the outset.
- 6.11 The Scottish Executive have specified that tender evaluation of specialised contracts on an 80% quality and 20% price format is appropriate. This ratio is proposed for the Project Management selection process.
- 6.12 The categories of the qualitative assessment are detailed in Appendix A.
- 6.13 Project Management services will include:
- i Preparation of Brief(s) for design/installation of services/equipment
 - ii Tender assessment and engagement of specialist contractors
 - iii Project Management and Supervision of design/installation work

iv Monitoring and reporting of initial system operation.

6.14 In house engineering services will be used as a first choice where appropriate.

6.15 This innovative and ambitious project is expected to generate national interest and on completion a technical paper for Conference and/or technical journal will be prepared.

7 CONSULTATIONS

7.1 The Chief Executive, Director of Finance, Director of Support Services, Director of Corporate Planning, Director of Personnel and Management Services, Director of Education, Director of Economic Development, Director of Environmental and Consumer Protection, Director of Neighbourhood Resources and Development, Director of Public Relations, Legal Manager and the Chief Constable, have been consulted and are in agreement with the contents of this report.

8 BACKGROUND PAPERS

8.1 Report No 384-2001 Public Transport Fund Bid 2001-2003

8.2 Planning and Transportation Committee 27 August 2001 – Item 21A Public Transport Fund Bids.

Mike Galloway
Director of Planning and Transportation

Iain Sherriff
Roads and Transportation Manager

15 March 2002

IFS/SA/EES

Dundee City Council
Tayside House
Dundee

APPENDIX A

QUALITY MARKING CRITERIA FOR TENDERER A – EXAMPLE

ITEM	MARKS AWARDED (A)	WEIGHTING % (B)	A x B
Project Manager			
- Local Experience	10	5	50
- Technical Knowledge	8	5	40
- Multidisciplinary Experience	7	5	35
- Qualifications	6	5	30
Project Team			
- Local Experience	8	5	40
- Technical Knowledge	7	5	35
- Multidisciplinary Experience	6	5	30
- Qualifications	6	5	30
Project Experience			
- Technical Area (PTIS)	8	5	40
- Technical Area (UTC)	8	5	40
- Multi Contract Integration	7	5	35
- Innovation	6	5	30
Quality of References			
- Technical	7	5	35
- Working Relationships	7	5	35
Quality of Technical Proposals	8	15	120
Quality Assurance	10	5	50
Investor in People/Training Policy	4	2½	10
Health and Safety Policy/Commitment	6	2½	15
Sub Consultants			
- Selection Criteria	6	2½	15
- Management Plans	4	2½	10
<u>TOTAL(S)</u>		100	725

Notes:

- 1 If sub-consultants are not proposed, then full marks for this item will be given.
- 2 If any item is given a zero mark by the Employer's panel, then the tender will be discarded.
- 3 If any Tenderer's total quality mark falls below 70% of the qualitative marks of the top scoring Tender, then the Tender will be discarded.