

ITEM No ...3.....

REPORT TO: COMMUNITY SAFETY AND PUBLIC PROTECTION COMMITTEE – 9 MAY 2016

REPORT ON: DUNDEE COMMUNITY SAFETY PARTNERSHIP COMMISSIONING BUDGET 2016 - 2017

REPORT BY: EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF NEIGHBOURHOOD SERVICES

REPORT NO: 171-2016

1.0 PURPOSE OF REPORT

1.1 To seek approval for the Dundee Community Safety Partnership Commissioning Budget 2016 – 2017.

2.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that the Committee agree to:

2.1 Approve the proposed allocations which will support the delivery of the Single Outcome Agreement, Outcome 6 – Our communities will be safe and feel safe.

3.0 FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

3.1 The amounts proposed within the allocation can be met from within the Dundee Community Safety Partnership commissioning budget of £40,000 for 2016 – 2017.

4.0 MAIN TEXT

4.1 The Dundee Community Safety Partnership commissioning budget is identified to support the key priorities as outlined within the Single Outcome Agreement, Outcome 6 – Our communities will be safe and feel safe. The Community Safety Partnership has the responsibility on behalf of the Dundee Partnership to deliver on this outcome. The key intermediate outcomes are:

- 6a) Dundee has reduced levels of crime.
- 6b) Dundee has reduced levels of re-offending.
- 6c) Dundee has reduced fear of crime.
- 6d) We have improved safety of our local communities by reducing the risk to life, property and the environment from fire.
- 6e) We have improved road safety.
- 6f) We have improved personal safety in the home and in the community.

4.3 The proposed allocations amounting to £40,000 are contained within Appendix 1

4.4 It is proposed that any elements of the commissioning budget that are not fully utilised will be reallocated in line with the objectives of the Partnership and the relevant S.O.A. outcomes.

5.0 POLICY IMPLICATIONS

5.1 This report has been screened for any policy implications in respect of Sustainability, Strategic Environmental Assessment, Anti-Poverty, and Risk Management.

An Equality Impact Assessment is attached to this Report.

6.0 CONSULTATION

6.1 The Chief Executive, Executive Director of Corporate Services and Head of Democratic and Legal Services, Police Scotland Area Commander and the Scottish Fire and Rescue Service Senior Officer have been consulted on this report and are in agreement with its contents.

7.0 BACKGROUND PAPERS

7.1 The following background paper as defined by Section 50D of the Local Government (Scotland) Act 1973 was relied on to a material extent in preparing the above Report.

Single Outcome Agreement

Local Community Plan

Elaine Zwirlein
Executive Director of Neighbourhood Services

Tom Stirling
Head of Community Safety and Protection

19th April 2016

Details	Allocation £40,000
Impact of Crime / ASB	
Personal Safety – Alarms for distribution to vulnerable groups/individuals.	3,000
Victim Support – recruitment of additional volunteers	4,800
Target Hardening – provision of security measures for vulnerable residents.	5,000
Youth Disorder / Alcohol / Violent Crime / Sexual Offences	
Safe Zone – Towards keeping bus on the road throughout the year.	3,000
STAR Awards – Towards training provision.	2,000
Fire Safety	
SFRS – Replacement equipment for vulnerable people	4,590
Public Protection	
Police Scotland – Skyguard Phones for the most vulnerable victims of domestic abuse.	3,110
Protecting People – Launch event to promote work through the Tayside Harmful Practices Group. Dundee share of costs and printing aide memoires for staff re forced marriage, honour based violence and female genital mutilation.	1,000
E-Safety – Parental information booklet, resources.	5,000
Advocating Together – establishment and development of Keep Safe Places	3,600
Safety Net – anti radicalisation awareness	2,000
CAIR Scotland – Substance harm reduction	1,000
Police Scotland – Tayside Intensive Support Scheme, Counter drug initiative	1,400
CSP	
Contingencies	500
TOTAL	£40,000

All partners / projects receiving an award from any of the CSP funds are required to submit a report on the work of their project describing how they meet the intermediate outcomes from the S.O.A. and detailing specifically how the money has been spent. All monies awarded may only be spent on Dundee priorities and partners / projects involved in cross area work must be able to demonstrate that their award has been spent on the Dundee element of any projects.

(10% of all grants awarded are audited each year to ensure compliance and due diligence.)

EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT TOOL

Part 1: Description/Consultation

Is this a Rapid Equality Impact Assessment (RIAT)?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Is this a Full Equality Impact Assessment (EQIA)?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Date of Assessment: 01 May 2016	Committee Report Number: 171-2016	
Title of document being assessed: CSP Commissioning Allocation 2016 - 2017		
1. This is a new policy, procedure, strategy or practice being assessed (If yes please check box) <input type="checkbox"/>	This is an existing policy, procedure, strategy or practice being assessed? (If yes please check box) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
2. Please give a brief description of the policy, procedure, strategy or practice being assessed.	CSP Commissioning budget is allocated annually to partners/projects which are working towards the CSP/SOA Outcome 6 intermediate outcomes.	
3. What is the intended outcome of this policy, procedure, strategy or practice?	The CSP/SOA Outcome 6 intermediate outcomes will be met.	
4. Please list any existing documents which have been used to inform this Equality and Diversity Impact Assessment.	SOA Outcome 6 delivery plan.	
5. Has any consultation, involvement or research with protected characteristic communities informed this assessment? If yes please give details.	Research and consultation undertaken to inform the SOA Outcome 6 and intermediate outcomes.	
6. Please give details of council officer involvement in this assessment. (e.g. names of officers consulted, dates of meetings etc)	Garyth Jardine, Community Safety Manager	
7. Is there a need to collect further evidence or to involve or consult protected characteristics communities on the impact of the proposed policy? (Example: if the impact on a community is not known what will you do to gather the information needed and when will you do this?)	Not at this time.	

Part 2: Protected Characteristics

Which protected characteristics communities will be positively or negatively affected by this policy, procedure or strategy?

NB Please place an X in the box which best describes the "overall" impact. It is possible for an assessment to identify that a positive policy can have some negative impacts and visa versa. When this is the case please identify both positive and negative impacts in Part 3 of this form.

If the impact on a protected characteristic communities are not known please state how you will gather evidence of any potential negative impacts in box Part 1 section 7 above.

	Positively	Negatively	No Impact	Not Known
Ethnic Minority Communities including Gypsies and Travellers	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Gender	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Gender Reassignment	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Religion or Belief	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
People with a disability	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Age	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Lesbian, Gay and Bisexual	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Socio-economic	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Pregnancy & Maternity	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other (please state)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Part 3: Impacts/Monitoring

<p>1. Have any positive impacts been identified?</p> <p>(We must ensure at this stage that we are not achieving equality for one strand of equality at the expense of another)</p>	<p>Those most vulnerable or at risk of harm are protected.</p>
<p>2. Have any negative impacts been identified?</p> <p>(Based on direct knowledge, published research, community involvement, customer feedback etc. If unsure seek advice from your departmental Equality Champion.)</p>	<p>None</p>
<p>3. What action is proposed to overcome any negative impacts?</p> <p>(e.g. involving community groups in the development or delivery of the policy or practice, providing information in community languages etc. See Good Practice on DCC equalities web page)</p>	<p>N/A</p>
<p>4. Is there a justification for continuing with this policy even if it cannot be amended or changed to end or reduce inequality without compromising its intended outcome?</p> <p>(If the policy that shows actual or potential unlawful discrimination you must stop and seek legal advice)</p>	<p>N/A</p>
<p>5. Has a 'Full' Equality Impact Assessment been recommended?</p> <p>(If the policy is a major one or is likely to have a major impact on protected characteristics communities a Full Equality Impact Assessment may be required. Seek advice from your departmental Equality lead.)</p>	<p>No</p>
<p>6. How will the policy be monitored?</p> <p>(How will you know it is doing what it is intended to do? e.g. data collection, customer survey etc.)</p>	<p>There will be regular reports to the CSP on any ongoing work and each partner / project is required to report on outcomes at the end of the year. In addition 10% of all projects are audited for compliance and due diligence.</p>

Part 4: Contact Information

Name of Department or Partnership	Dundee Community Safety Partnership
--	-------------------------------------

Type of Document	
Human Resource Policy	<input type="checkbox"/>
General Policy	<input type="checkbox"/>
Strategy/Service	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Change Papers/Local Procedure	<input type="checkbox"/>
Guidelines and Protocols	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

Manager Responsible	Author Responsible
Name: Tom Stirling	Name: Graeme Mackenzie
Designation: Head of Service Communities and Co-Chair of the CSP	Designation: Service Manager – Community Safety and Resilience
Base: 21 City Square	Base: CS Hub, WDHO
Telephone: 01382 433203	Telephone: 01382 433301
Email: Tom.stirling@dundeecity.gov.uk	Email: Graeme.mackenzie@dundeecity.gov.uk

Signature of author of the policy:	Graeme Mackenzie	Date: 19 April 2016
Signature of Director/Head of Service:	Tom Stirling	Date: 19 April 2016
Name of Director/Head of Service:	Tom Stirling	
Date of Next Policy Review:	2017	